

EXHIBIT C

From: [Keith Mathews](#)
To: [Brass, Rachel S.](#)
Cc: [Peter J. Brann](#); [Copeland, Zachary B.](#)
Subject: Re: Amended Primary Productions Complaint
Date: Friday, August 6, 2021 1:17:47 PM

[WARNING: External Email]

Rachel,

As the motion to transfer was filed as a motion to dismiss in this matter it is our position that that motion is mooted by our filing.

Regardless my client has made his position regarding any dilatory tactics extremely clear and therefore I can not offer my assent.

Thanks,

Keith

On Aug 3, 2021, at 9:33 PM, Brass, Rachel S. <RBrass@gibsondunn.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Mathews,

We are writing to ask if you would agree to a stipulated request to the Court to defer a response to the Amended Primary Productions Complaint—here a motion to dismiss—pending resolution of Apple’s motion to transfer. We would propose that Apple’s response be due consistent with the local rules if the matter is transferred to the Northern District of California, or 14 days after any order denying the motion. Please let us know.

Best,
Rachel

Rachel S. Brass
Partner

GIBSON DUNN

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
555 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
Tel +1 415.393.8293 • Mobile +1 415.264.5998
RBrass@gibsondunn.com • www.gibsondunn.com

This message may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use

of the intended recipient. Any review, disclosure, distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

Please see our website at <https://www.gibsondunn.com/> for information regarding the firm and/or our privacy policy.
